

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,897	03/19/2004	Qing Ma	42P10077D3	8201
7590 12/13/2005			EXAMINER	
James Y. Go			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
BLAKELY, So Seventh Floor	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			3729	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠ -		€			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/804,897	MA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAIL DIO DATE (4)	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 13-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14,19 and 20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
200 and accorded document of the analysis	coranios copios not receive	~ .			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/19/04, 5/26/05</u> . 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/804,897 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group II, Claims 15-18 in the reply filed on October 11, 2005 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 14, 19 and 20 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on October 11, 2005.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the content includes already implied phrases, i.e. "The invention..." (line 2 of page 25 of the specification). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A Process of Manufacturing an Oscillator.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,897 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 13, 15, 16 and 18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caruso et al 4,131,484.

Caruso discloses a process of forming a piezoelectric vibrating oscillator comprising: providing an oscillator member (shown in Figs. 1 and 2); determining a first resonant frequency and determining a second resonant frequency of the oscillator member (see col. 6, lines 42-68); and patterning at least one structure (electrode material 12, 14) on the oscillator member by directing radiant energy of a laser at the oscillator member (see col. 4, lines 41+).

Regarding Claim(s) 16, Caruso further teaches that the removing at least one structure (portions of electrode material 12) of the oscillator member to form holes 12h.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caruso et al in view of Eschler et al 4,051,582.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,897

Art Unit: 3729

Caruso discloses the claimed manufacturing method as relied upon above in Claim 13.

Caruso does not teach precipitating a vapor on the oscillator member.

Eschler teaches precipitating a vapor on an oscillator member by forming structures (electrode materials 1 or 7) through vapor deposition (see col. 3, lines 8-11 and lines 52-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of Caruso by forming at least one of the structures (electrode material) by precipitating a vapor on the oscillator member, i.e. vapor deposition, as taught by Eschler, to advantageously provide a means for patterning and applying the at least one structure on the oscillator member.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,897 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3729

December 2, 2005